

Any ℓ -state solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the Manning-Rosen potential via asymptotic iteration method

Falaye, B. J.¹, Oyewumi, K. J.², Ibrahim, T. T.³, Punyasena, M. A.⁴ and Onate, C. A.⁵

Theoretical Physics Section, Department of Physics

University of Ilorin, P. M. B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria.

Abstract

Using the asymptotic iteration method (AIM), we have obtained analytical approximations to the ℓ -wave solutions of the Schrödinger equation with the Manning-Rosen potential. The equation of energy eigenvalues equation and the corresponding wavefunctions have been obtained explicitly. Three different Pekeris-type approximation schemes have been used to deal with the centrifugal term. To show the accuracy of our results, we have calculated the eigenvalues numerically for arbitrary quantum numbers n and ℓ for some diatomic molecules (HCl, CH, LiH and CO). It is found that the results are in good agreement with other results found in the literature. A straightforward extension to the s-wave case and Hulthén potential case are also presented.

Keywords: Manning-Rosen potential; asymptotic iteration; eigenvalues; eigenfunctions, Pekeris approximation.

PACs No. 03.65.Ge; 03.65.-w; 02.30.Gp

1 Introduction

In recent years, the problem of analytical solution of Schrödinger equation with angular momentum quantum number $\ell = 0$ and $\ell \neq 0$ for a number of exponential-type potentials has been addressed by many researchers. Some of these exponential-type potentials include Manning-Rosen potential [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], Morse potential [6, 7], Hulthén potential [8, 9, 10], Woods-Saxon potential [11], Pöschl-Teller potential [12], Rosen-Morse potential [13], Deng-Fan potential [15] and trigonometric Scarf potential [14].

It is known that radial Schrödinger equation for these potentials can be solved exactly for $\ell = 0$. On the other hand, it is also known that for these potentials, the Schrödinger equation cannot be solved for $\ell \neq 0$. To obtain the solution for $\ell \neq 0$, one has to use Pekeris-type approximation scheme to deal with the centrifugal term or solve numerically. The most widely

¹fbjames11@physicist.net

²kjoyewumi66@unilorin.edu.ng

³ibrahimng@yahoo.com

⁴ Department of Physics, University of Kelaniya, Kelaniya, Sri Lanka: sena@kln.ac.lk

⁵onateca12@gmx.us

used approximation was introduced by Pekeris [16] and another form was suggested by Greene et al. [17] and Qiang et al. [4].

The approximate analytical solution of the Schrödinger equation with Manning-Rosen potential has been studied by many researchers [1, 4, 18, 19]. This potential has been used in several branches of physics for bound states and scattering properties. The Dirac equation with this potential has been investigated also, the approximate solutions of the effective mass for this potential in N dimensions has been obtained by using the asymptotic iteration method [20]. Recently, Hassanabadi et al. (2012) used SUSY approach to obtain the spin pseudospin symmetries of the Dirac equation with the actual and general Manning-Rosen potentials [21].

In addition, it also gives an excellent description of the interaction between two atoms in diatomic molecules. The short range Manning-Rosen potential is given by [1, 3, 4, 5]

$$V(r) = \frac{-A\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} \frac{e^{-r/b}}{1 - e^{-r/b}} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} \left[\frac{e^{-r/b}}{1 - e^{-r/b}} \right]^2, \quad (1)$$

where A and α are dimensionless parameters, while b is the screening parameter which has dimension of length.

Motivated by the success in obtaining analytical solution of the Schrödinger equation with potential equation (1) using the Nikiforov-Uvarov method (N-U) [22] by Ikhdair [18], the standard method by Qiang et al. [1, 4] and the numerical integration procedure by Lucha and Shöberl [19]. We attempt to use a different and more practical method called the asymptotic iteration method (AIM) [23, 24, 25] within the framework of the Pekeris approximations suggested by Qiang et al. [4] to solve the Schrödinger equation with short range Manning-Rosen potential for any arbitrary ℓ -state. These approximations are [4] :

$$Approx.1 : \quad \frac{1}{r^2} \approx \frac{1}{b^2} \left[\frac{1}{12} + \frac{e^{-r/b}}{(1 - e^{-r/b})^2} \right], \quad (2)$$

$$Approx.2 : \quad \frac{1}{r^2} \approx \frac{1}{b^2} \left[\frac{e^{(1-r)/b}}{1 - e^{-r/b}} + \frac{e^{-2r/b}}{(1 - e^{-r/b})^2} \right]. \quad (3)$$

These approximations are proposed instead of the commonly used one by others [4]:

$$Approx.3 : \quad \frac{1}{r^2} \approx \frac{1}{b^2} \frac{e^{-r/b}}{(1 - e^{-r/b})^2}. \quad (4)$$

Equations (2) and (3) are more general than equation (4), while equation (2) and (3) give a better approximation to the centrifugal term when b is small [4]. This paper is organized as

follows. In section 2, the review of the asymptotic iteration method (AIM) is presented. In section 3, this method is applied to radial Schrödinger equation to find the analytical solution. The numerical calculations are given and the results are compared with those obtained by other methods [4, 18, 19] in section 4. In section 5, a brief conclusion is given.

2 The Asymptotic Iteration Method (AIM)

The Asymptotic Iteration Method (AIM) is proposed to solve the homogenous linear second-order differential equation of the form

$$y_n''(x) = \lambda_o(x)y_n'(x) + s_o(x)y_n(x), \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_o(x) \neq 0$ and the prime denote the derivative with respect to x , the extra parameter n can be described as a radial quantum number [23, 24]. The variables, $s_o(x)$ and $\lambda_o(x)$ are sufficiently differentiable. To find a general solution to this equation, we differentiate equation (5) with respect to x , we find

$$y_n'''(x) = \lambda_1(x)y_n'(x) + s_1(x)y_n(x), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(x) &= \lambda_o'(x) + s_o(x) + \lambda_o^2(x), \\ s_1(x) &= s_o'(x) + s_o(x)\lambda_o(x). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The second derivative of equation (5) is obtained as

$$y_n''''(x) = \lambda_2(x)y_n'(x) + s_2(x)y_n(x), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(x) &= \lambda_1'(x) + s_1(x) + \lambda_o(x)\lambda_1(x), \\ s_2(x) &= s_1'(x) + s_o(x)\lambda_1(x). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Equation (5) can be iterated up to $(k+1)^{th}$ and $(n+2)^{th}$ derivatives, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(k+1)}(x) &= \lambda_{k-1}(x)y_n'(x) + s_{k-1}(x)y_n(x), \\ y_n^{(k+2)}(x) &= \lambda_k(x)y_n'(x) + s_k(x)y_n(x), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k(x) &= \lambda'_{k-1}(x) + s_{k-1}(x) + \lambda_o(x)\lambda_{k-1}(x), \\ s_k(x) &= s'_{k-1}(x) + s_o(x)\lambda_{k-1}(x).\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

From the ratio of the $(k+2)^{th}$ and $(k+1)^{th}$ derivatives

$$\frac{d}{dx} \ln [y_n^{k+1}(x)] = \frac{y_n^{(k+2)}(x)}{y_n^{(k+1)}(x)} = \frac{\lambda_k(x) \left[y'_n(x) + \frac{s_k(x)}{\lambda_k(x)} y_n(x) \right]}{\lambda_{k-1}(x) \left[y'_n(x) + \frac{s_{k-1}(x)}{\lambda_{k-1}(x)} y_n(x) \right]},\tag{12}$$

if $k > 0$, for sufficiently large k , we obtain α values from

$$\frac{s_k(x)}{\lambda_k(x)} = \frac{s_{k-1}(x)}{\lambda_{k-1}(x)} = \alpha(x),\tag{13}$$

with quantization condition

$$\delta_k(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_k(x) & s_k(x) \\ \lambda_{k-1}(x) & s_{k-1}(x) \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\tag{14}$$

Then, equation (12) reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dx} \ln [y_n^{(k+1)}(x)] = \frac{\lambda_k(x)}{\lambda_{k-1}(x)},\tag{15}$$

which yields the general solution of equation (5) as

$$y(x) = \exp \left(- \int^x \alpha(x') dx' \right) \left[C_2 + C_1 \int^x \exp \left(\int^{x'} [\lambda_o(x'') + 2\alpha(x'')] dx'' \right) dx' \right].\tag{16}$$

For a given potential, the idea is to convert the radial Schrödinger equation to the form of equation (5). Then, $\lambda_o(x)$ and $s_o(x)$ are determined and $s_k(x)$ and $\lambda_k(x)$ parameters are obtained by the recurrence relations given by equation (11). The energy eigenvalues are then obtained by the condition given by equation (14) if the problem is exactly solvable.

Suppose we wish to solve the radial Schrödinger equation for which the homogeneous linear second-order differential equation takes the following general form

$$y_n''(x) = 2 \left(\frac{ax^{N+1}}{1-bx^{N+2}} - \frac{m+1}{x} \right) y'_n(x) - \frac{Wx^N}{1-bx^{N+2}}.\tag{17}$$

The exact solution $y_n(x)$ can be expressed as [24]

$$y_n(x) = (-1)^n C_2 (N+2)^n (\sigma)_n {}_2F_1(-n, \rho + n; \sigma; bx^{N+2}),\tag{18}$$

where the following notations have been used:

$$(\sigma)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+n)}{\Gamma(\sigma)}, \quad \sigma = \frac{2m+N+3}{N+2} \text{ and } \rho = \frac{(2m+1)b+2a}{(N+2)b}.\tag{19}$$

3 The Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger Equation with the Manning-Rosen Potential

The Schrödinger equation is given by

$$\left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right] + V(r) - E \right\} \psi(r) = 0. \quad (20)$$

By taking $\psi(r) = R_{n\ell}(r)Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)r^{-1}$ and considering potential (1), we obtain the radial part of the Schrödinger equation as:

$$\frac{d^2 R_{n\ell}(r)}{dr^2} + \left[\frac{2\mu E_{n\ell}}{\hbar^2} - V_{eff} \right] R_{n\ell}(r) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where

$$V_{eff}(r) = V_{MR}(r) + V_{\ell}(r) = \frac{1}{b^2} \left[\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)e^{-2r/b}}{(1-e^{-r/b})^2} - \frac{Ae^{-r/b}}{1-e^{-r/b}} \right] + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}. \quad (22)$$

By putting the approximation expression (2) into equation (21) and using $z = (e^{-r/b} - 1)^{-1}$, we have

$$z(z+1) \frac{d^2 R_{n\ell}(z)}{dz^2} + (1+2z) \frac{dR_{n\ell}(z)}{dz} + \frac{(\Lambda_a z^2 + \Lambda_b z + \Lambda_c) R_{n\ell}(z)}{z+1} = 0, \quad (23)$$

where, we have used the following notations for simplicity:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_b - \Lambda_c - \Lambda_a &= \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{12} - \frac{2\mu E_{n\ell} b^2}{\hbar^2}, \\ \Lambda_b - \Lambda_a &= -\alpha(\alpha-1) - A, \\ -\Lambda_a &= \alpha(\alpha+1) + \ell(\ell+1). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In order to solve (23) with the AIM, we need to transform this equation into the form of equation (5). Therefore, the reasonable physical wave function can be obtained as:

$$R_{n\ell}(z) = z^{\gamma}(z+1)^{-\beta} f_{n\ell}(z), \quad (25)$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{-\Lambda_c}$ and $\beta = \sqrt{\Lambda_b - \Lambda_a - \Lambda_c}$.

With equation (25), equation (23) turns to a second-order homogeneous linear differential equation of the form

$$\frac{d^2 f_{n\ell}(z)}{dz^2} = \left\{ \frac{2\beta-1}{1+z} - \frac{2\gamma+1}{z} \right\} \frac{df_{n\ell}(z)}{dz} + \left\{ \frac{(\beta-\gamma) - (\beta-\gamma)^2 - \Lambda_a}{1+z} \right\} f_{n\ell}(z). \quad (26)$$

We now apply the AIM in solving equation (26). Comparing equations (5) and (26), we have the values of λ_n and s_n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_o &= \left\{ \frac{2\beta - 1}{1 + z} - \frac{2\gamma + 1}{z} \right\}, \\
s_o &= \left\{ \frac{(\beta - \gamma) - (\beta - \gamma)^2 - \Lambda_a}{1 + z} \right\}, \\
\lambda_1 &= \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\beta}{(z + 1)^2} + \frac{2\gamma + 1}{z^2} + \frac{(\beta - \gamma) - (\beta - \gamma)^2 - \Lambda_a}{1 + z} + \left(\frac{2\beta - 1}{1 + z} - \frac{2\gamma + 1}{z} \right)^2 \right\}, \\
s_1 &= \left\{ \frac{(\gamma - \beta + (\gamma - \beta)^2 + \Lambda_a)}{(1 + z)^2} + \left(\frac{\beta - \gamma - (\beta - \gamma)^2 - \Lambda_a}{1 + z} \right) \left(\frac{2\beta - 1}{1 + z} - \frac{2\gamma + 1}{z} \right) \right\}, \\
&\dots etc.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Combining these results with the condition given by equation (14) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{s_o}{\lambda_o} &= \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1} \Rightarrow \gamma_o - \beta_o = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\Lambda_a}, \\
\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1} &= \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2} \Rightarrow \gamma_1 - \beta_1 = -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\Lambda_a}, \\
\frac{s_2}{\lambda_2} &= \frac{s_3}{\lambda_3} \Rightarrow \gamma_2 - \beta_2 = -\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\Lambda_a}, \\
&\dots etc.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

When the above expressions are generalized and using the notations in equation (24), the energy values of the schrödinger equation with the Manning-Rosen potential turn out as:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.1)} &= \left[\alpha(\alpha - 1) + A + \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{12} \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} \\
&- \left[\frac{\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]^2 + \alpha(\alpha - 1) + A}{\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

Again by using approximation (3) and repeat the above procedure, we can consequently obtain the energy eigenvalues as

$$E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.2)} = \frac{\zeta(\ell)\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} - \left[\frac{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + n \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]^2 + \zeta(\ell)}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + n \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}. \tag{30}$$

Similarly, by using the usual approximation in equation (4), the energy eigenvalues are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.3)} &= \left[\alpha(\alpha - 1) + A \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} \\
&- \left[\frac{\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]^2 + \alpha(\alpha - 1) + A}{\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha(\alpha - 1) + 4\ell(\ell + 1)} \right]} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}, \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(\ell) = \alpha(\alpha - 1) + A + \ell(\ell + 1)(1 - e^{1/b})$.

Using the AIM as indicated in Section 2, we can also compute the radial eigenfuctions for the Schrödinger equation with the Manning-Rosen potential. By comparing equation (26) with equation (17), we have the following:

$$a = \beta - \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = -1, \quad N = -1, \quad m = \gamma - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sigma = 2\gamma + 1, \quad \rho = 2(\gamma - \beta) + 1. \quad (32)$$

Having determined these parameters, we can easily find the eigenfunctions $f_{n\ell}(z)$ and write the total radial wave function as

$$R_{n\ell}(z) = N_{n\ell}(-1)^n z^\gamma (z + 1)^{-\beta} \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} {}_2F_1(-n, 2(\gamma - \beta) + n + 1; 2\gamma + 1; -z), \quad (33)$$

where $N_{n\ell}$ is the normalization constant.

4 Numerical Results

To show the accuracy of our results, in Tables 1 and 2, we obtained the eigenvalues (in atomic units) numerically for arbitrary quantum numbers n and ℓ with the potential parameter $\alpha = 0.75, 1.50$. We also computed the energy eigenvalues for HCl, CH, LiH and CO diatomic molecules as shown in Tables 3 - 6. It is found that the results are in good agreement with other results in the literature for short potential range as it can be seen from the results presented in these tables. The fitting parameters were obtained from [26, 27, 28, 29].

Firstly, let us study the s-wave case ($\ell = 0$). The solutions of energy eigenvalues (29), (30) and (31), reduce to the following equation

$$E_n^{(Approx.1)} = E_n^{(Approx.2)} = E_n^{(Approx.3)} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} \left[\frac{A - \alpha}{2(\alpha + n)} - \frac{n(n + 2\alpha)}{2(\alpha + n)} \right]^2. \quad (34)$$

Essentially this result coincides with the results obtained by the standard method [1] when $\hbar = \mu = 1$. Secondly, we further discuss another special case with $\alpha = 0, 1$. As a result, the potential (1) becomes Hulthén potential [1, 2, 3, 10]. So that

$$V(r) = -V_o \frac{e^{-\delta r}}{1 - e^{-\delta r}}, \quad (35)$$

where $V_o = \frac{A\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2}$ and $\delta = 1/b$. The corresponding energy levels for $\ell \neq 0$ for this potential are given by

$$E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.1)} = \left[A + \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{12} \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} - \left[\frac{(n + \ell + 1)^2 + A}{n + \ell + 1} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}, \quad (36)$$

$$E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.2)} = \left[A + \ell(\ell+1)(1 - e^\delta) \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2} - \left[\frac{(n + \ell + 1)^2 + A + \ell(\ell+1)(1 - e^\delta)}{(n + \ell + 1)} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$E_{n\ell}^{(Approx.3)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu b^2}(A) - \left[\frac{(n + \ell + 1)^2 + A}{n + \ell + 1} \right]^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}. \quad (38)$$

Furthermore, for s-wave ($\ell = 0$) states, equations (36), (37) and (38) reduce to

$$E_n^{(Approx.1)} = E_n^{(Approx.1)} = E_n^{(Approx.3)} = -\frac{[A - (n+1)^2]^2}{(n+1)^2} \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu b^2}. \quad (39)$$

These results are consistent with those obtained by the other methods [1, 2], the Feynman integral method [3] and the N-U method [18].

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied analytically the solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the Manning-Rosen potential for arbitrary ℓ bound states within the framework of the asymptotic iteration method. We have calculated the energy eigenvalues numerically for arbitrary n and ℓ . We have also computed the energy eigenvalues for a few HCl, Cl, LiH and CO diatomic molecules. Furthermore, we studied two special cases namely s-wave case ($\ell = 0$) and Hulthén potential case ($\alpha = 0, 1$). We find that the results are in good agreement with other findings in the literature, as it can be seen from the results presented in the tables.

It is evident that the AIM is efficient, accurate and an alternative method of calculating energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Manning-Rosen potential and other interaction problems that are analytically solvable. It should be emphasized that the AIM provides a closed-form for the energy eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions for exactly solvable problems. However, if there is no such a solution, the energy eigenvalues are obtained by using an iterative approach [30, 31, 32].

Acknowledgments.

The authors appreciate the efforts of Profs. Hasanabadi, H., Yasuk, F. and Dr. Bahar, M. K. for their assistance. eJDS (ICTP) is acknowledged.

References

- [1] W. C. Qiang and S. H. Dong, Phys. Lett. A **368** (2007) 13.

- [2] S. H. Dong and J. Garcia-Ravelo, Phys. Scr. **75** (2007) 307.
- [3] A. Diaf, A. Chouchaoni and R. L. Lombard, Ann. Phys. (Paris) **317** (2005) 354.
- [4] W. C. Qiang, K. Li and W. L. Chen, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**(2009) 205306.
- [5] M. F. Manning and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **44** (1933) 953.
- [6] C. Berkdemir and J. Han, Chem. Phys. Lett. **409** (2005) 203.
- [7] J. P. Killingbeck, A. Grosjean and G. Jolicard, J. Chem. Phys. **116** (2002) 447.
- [8] O. Bayrak and I. Boztosun, J. Mol. Struct. : (Theochem) **802** (2007) 17.
- [9] M. Akdas and R. Sever, J. Mol. Struct. : (Theochem) **710** (2004) 223.
- [10] L. Hulthén, Ark. Mat. Astron. Fys. A **28** (1942) 5.
- [11] C. Berkdemir, A. Berkdemir and R. Sever, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 13455.
- [12] K. J. Oyewumi, T. T. Ibrahim, S. O. Ajibola and D. A. Ajadi, J. Vec. Rel. **5** (2010) 19.
- [13] K. J. Oyewumi and C. O. Akoshile, Eur. Phys. J. A. **45** (2010) 311.
- [14] B. J. Falaye and K. J. Oyewumi, Afr. Rev. Phys. **25** (2011) 211
- [15] S. H. Dong, Commun. Theor. Phys. **55** 969 (2011).
- [16] C. L. Pekeris, Phys. Rev. **45** (1934) 98.
- [17] R. L. Greene and C. Aldrich, Phys. Rev. A **14** (1976) 2363.
- [18] S. M. Ikhdair, “Approximated ℓ —states of the Manning-Rosen potential by Nikiforov-Uvarov method” *arXiv:quant-ph/1110.3153v1*.
- [19] W. Lucha and F. F. Schöberl, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **10** (1999) 607.
- [20] M. K. Bahar and F. Yasuk, Few-Body Syst. DOI: 10.1007/s00601-012-0461-8.
- [21] H. Hassanabadi, E. Maghsoodi, S. Zarrinkamar and H. Rahimov, Can. J. Phy. **90** (2012) 633.

- [22] A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, (Basel, Birkhauser) (1988).
- [23] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall and N. Saad, J. Phys. A: Math Gen. **36** (2003) 11807.
- [24] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall and N. Saad, Phys. Lett. A **340** (2005) 388.
- [25] B. J. Falaye, Few-Body Syst. (2012) DOI: 10.1007/s00601-012-0440-0; Cent. Eur. J. Phys. (2012) DOI: 10.24789/s11533-012-0047-6.
- [26] Y. P. Varshni, Chem. Phys. **353** (1 - 3) (2008) 32.
- [27] S. M. Ikhdair, Phys. Scr. **83** (2001) 015010.
- [28] K. J. Oyewumi, F. O. Akinpelu and A. D. Agboola, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2010) 1039
- [29] K. J. Oyewumi and K. D. Sen, J. Math. Chem. **50** (2012) 1039.
- [30] T. Barakat, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2006) 823.
- [31] T. Barakat, Phys. Lett. A **344** (2005) 411.
- [32] F. M. Fernandez, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004) 6173.

Table 1: Eigenvalues (29), (30) and (31) (in eV) as a function of α for 2p, 3p,3d, 4p,4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states in atomic units ($\hbar = \mu = 1$) and for $A = 2b, \alpha = 0.75$.

states	1/b	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	LS[19]	QD[4]	SMI[18]
2p	0.025	-0.120527265	-0.120418880	-0.120579348	-0.1205271	-0.1205270	-0.1205793
	0.050	-0.108214465	-0.107807107	-0.108422798	-0.1082151	-0.1082140	-0.1084228
	0.075	-0.096443282	-0.095588341	-0.094099532	-0.0964469	-0.0964433	-0.0969120
3p	0.025	-0.045877611	-0.045864389	-0.045929694	-0.0458779	-0.0458776	-0.0459296
	0.050	-0.035058868	-0.035035701	-0.035267202	-0.0350633	-0.0035058	-0.0352672
	0.075	-0.025542209	-0.025559177	-0.026010959	-0.0255654	-0.0255422	-0.0260109
3d	0.025	-0.044773693	-0.044738013	-0.044929943	-0.0447743	-0.0447737	-0.0449299
	0.050	-0.033683244	-0.033631531	-0.034308244	-0.0336930	-0.0336832	-0.0343082
	0.075	-0.023710563	-0.023808407	-0.025116813	-0.0237621	-0.0237106	-0.0251168
4p	0.025	-0.020808737	-0.020827991	-0.020860820	-0.0208097	-0.0208087	-0.0208608
	0.050	-0.011720853	-0.011828800	-0.011929186	-0.0117365	-0.0117209	-0.0119291
	0.025	-0.020299297	-0.020358740	-0.020455547	-0.0203017	-0.0202993	-0.0204555
4d	0.050	-0.010949158	-0.011280727	-0.011574158	-0.0109904	-0.0109492	-0.0115741
	0.025	-0.019976163	-0.020096597	-0.020288663	-0.0199797	-0.0199762	-0.0202886
4f	0.050	-0.010178355	-0.010850560	-0.011428355	-0.0102393	-0.0101784	-0.0114283
5p	0.025	-0.009805520	-0.009839609	-0.009857603	-0.0098079	-0.0098055	-0.0098576
5d	0.025	-0.009507438	-0.009610568	-0.009663688	-0.0095141	-0.0095074	-0.0096637
5f	0.025	-0.009271176	-0.009478255	-0.009583676	-0.0092825	-0.0092712	-0.0095837
5g	0.025	-0.009018988	-0.009365058	-0.009539821	-0.0090330	-0.0090190	-0.0095398
6p	0.025	-0.004149868	-0.004395133	-0.004405135	-0.0043583	-0.0043531	-0.0044051
6d	0.025	-0.003952747	-0.004276617	-0.004306118	-0.0041650	-0.0041499	-0.0043061
6f	0.025	-0.003722009	-0.004206733	-0.004265247	-0.0039803	-0.0039528	-0.0042652
6g	0.025	-0.003722009	-0.004145897	-0.004242842	-0.0037611	-0.0037220	-0.0042428

Table 2: Eigenvalues (29), (30) and (31) (in eV) as a function of α for 2p, 3p,3d, 4p,4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states in atomic units ($\hbar = \mu = 1$) and for $A = 2b, \alpha = 1.5$.

states	1/b	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	LS[19]	QD[4]	SMI[18]
2p	0.025	-0.090022888	-0.089970805	-0.089902617	-0.0899708	-0.0899708	-0.0900228
	0.050	-0.080247211	-0.080038878	-0.079787754	-0.0800400	-0.0800389	-0.0802472
	0.075	-0.068220746	-0.070564496	-0.070050273	-0.0705701	-0.0705645	-0.0710332
3p	0.025	-0.036965098	-0.036913014	-0.036911875	-0.0369134	-0.0369130	-0.0369650
	0.050	-0.027471932	-0.036913014	-0.027286328	-0.0272696	-0.0272636	-0.0274719
	0.075	-0.019385017	-0.036913014	-0.019030789	-0.0189474	-0.0189163	-0.0193850
3d	0.025	-0.039634470	-0.039478220	-0.039464723	-0.0394789	-0.0394782	-0.0396344
	0.050	-0.030062923	-0.039478220	-0.029466438	-0.0294496	-0.0294379	-0.0300629
	0.075	-0.021812093	-0.039478220	-0.020664098	-0.0204663	-0.0204058	-0.0218120
4p	0.025	-0.017224917	-0.017172833	-0.017197171	-0.0171740	-0.0171728	-0.0172249
	0.050	-0.009101874	-0.017172833	-0.009020329	-0.0089134	-0.0088935	-0.0091019
	0.075	-0.018364912	-0.018208662	-0.018277246	-0.0182115	-0.0182087	-0.0183649
4d	0.050	-0.010094745	-0.018208662	-0.009832879	-0.0095167	-0.0094697	-0.0100947
	0.025	-0.018922274	-0.018609774	-0.018742772	-0.0186137	-0.0186098	-0.0189222
4f	0.050	-0.010585249	-0.018609774	-0.010047153	-0.0094015	-0.0093353	-0.0105852
5p	0.025	-0.008130783	-0.008078700	-0.008115365	-0.0080816	-0.0080787	-0.0081308
5d	0.025	-0.008690244	-0.008533994	-0.008641669	-0.0085415	-0.0085340	-0.0086902
5f	0.025	-0.008962206	-0.008649706	-0.008862907	-0.0086619	-0.0086497	-0.0089622
5g	0.025	-0.009121004	-0.008649706	-0.008862907	-0.0086150	-0.0086002	-0.0091210
6p	0.025	-0.003533421	-0.003481337	-0.003524889	-0.0034876	-0.0034813	-0.0035334
6d	0.025	-0.003820917	-0.003664667	-0.003793959	-0.0036813	-0.0036647	-0.0038209
6f	0.025	-0.003960631	-0.003648131	-0.003905471	-0.0036774	-0.0036481	-0.0039606
6g	0.025	-0.004042185	-0.003521352	-0.003949174	-0.0035623	-0.0035214	-0.0040422

Table 3: For CH and CO , diatomic molecules, the comparison of the energy eigenvalues ($E_{n\ell}$) (in eV) by using the AIM with the other methods for 2p, 3p, 3d, 4p, 4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states with $\hbar c = 1973.29eVA^\circ$, $\mu_{CH} = 0.929931 amu$, $\mu_{CO} = 6.8606719 amu$, $\alpha = 0.75$ and $A = 2b$.

$CH/\alpha = 0.75$						$CO/\alpha = 0.75$			
states	1/b	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]
2p	0.025	-5.419968453	-5.415094497	-5.422310579	-5.42025940	-0.734650594	-0.733989952	-0.734968057	-0.734690030
	0.050	-4.866276397	-4.847957984	-4.875644901	-4.87380256	-0.659600305	-0.657117332	-0.660870160	-0.660620439
	0.075	-4.336940242	-4.298494550	-4.231544578	-4.35637111	-0.587851341	-0.582640213	-0.573565467	-0.590485101
3p	0.025	-2.063061863	-2.062467273	-2.065403988	-2.06461927	-0.279638089	-0.279557495	-0.279955553	-0.279849188
	0.050	-1.576555810	-1.575514013	-1.585924313	-1.58532495	-0.213694539	-0.213553329	-0.214964395	-0.214883153
	0.075	-1.148602903	-1.149365955	-1.169682036	-1.16923738	-0.155687586	-0.155791014	-0.158544761	-0.158484490
3d	0.025	-2.013419980	-2.011815487	-2.020446357	-2.01968093	-0.272909371	-0.272691890	-0.273861763	-0.273758013
	0.050	-1.514695599	-1.512370161	-1.542801109	-1.54221615	-0.205309686	-0.204994484	-0.209119252	-0.209039964
	0.075	-1.066235963	-1.070635873	-1.129473361	-1.12904596	-0.144523144	-0.145119531	-0.153094669	-0.153036736
4p	0.025	-0.935744277	-0.936610117	-0.938086403	-0.77458411	-0.126835625	-0.126952986	-0.127153089	-0.127104916
	0.050	-0.527072889	-0.531927125	-0.536441392	-0.53623480	-0.071442189	-0.072100157	-0.072712044	-0.072684041
	0.075	-0.898304420	-0.915508434	-0.919861754	-0.91951202	-0.121760833	-0.124092755	-0.124682826	-0.124635422
4d	0.050	-0.457708562	-0.507280926	-0.919861754	-0.52027690	-0.062040189	-0.068759484	-0.124682826	-0.070521025
	0.025	-0.898304420	-0.903720189	-0.912357175	-0.91200956	-0.121760833	-0.122494915	-0.123665617	-0.123618500
	0.075	-0.457708562	-0.487936833	-0.513919582	-0.51372292	-0.062040189	-0.066137485	-0.069659322	-0.069632666
4f	0.050	-0.457708562	-0.487936833	-0.513919582	-0.51372292	-0.062040189	-0.066137485	-0.069659322	-0.069632666
5p	0.025	-0.440942614	-0.442475558	-0.443284740	-0.44311709	-0.059767645	-0.059975429	-0.060085109	-0.060062386
5d	0.025	-0.427538219	-0.432175874	-0.434564596	-0.43440094	-0.057950744	-0.058579356	-0.058903136	-0.058880953
5f	0.025	-0.416913795	-0.426225917	-0.430966551	-0.43080479	-0.056510655	-0.057772868	-0.058415438	-0.058393512
5g	0.025	-0.405573222	-0.421135588	-0.428994480	-0.42883140	-0.054973495	-0.057082899	-0.058148134	-0.058126029
6p	0.025	-0.195751564	-0.197643936	-0.198093690	-0.19801728	-0.026533181	-0.026789683	-0.026850645	-0.026840287
6d	0.025	-0.186614662	-0.192314386	-0.193641039	-0.19356705	-0.025294718	-0.026067288	-0.026247109	-0.026237080
6f	0.025	-0.177750360	-0.189171805	-0.191803115	-0.19172852	-0.024093204	-0.025641326	-0.025997988	-0.025987876
6g	0.025	-0.167374335	-0.186436106	-0.190795594	-0.19072160	-0.022686784	-0.025270515	-0.025861423	-0.025851393

Table 4: For HCl and LiH , diatomic molecules, the comparison of the energy eigenvalues ($E_{n\ell}$) (in eV) by using the AIM with the other method for 2p, 3p, 3d, 4p, 4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states with $\hbar c = 1973.29eVA^\circ$, $\mu_{HCl} = 0.9801045 amu$, $\mu_{LiH} = 0.8801221 amu$, $\alpha = 0.75$ and $A = 2b$.

$HCl/\alpha = 0.75$						$LiH/\alpha = 0.75$			
states	1/b	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]
2p	0.025	-5.142509481	-5.137885032	-5.144731709	-5.14278553	-5.726701651	-5.721551863	-5.729176326	-5.72700906
	0.050	-4.617162023	-4.599781366	-4.626050934	-4.62430290	-5.141674407	-5.122319296	-5.151573103	-5.14962650
	0.075	-4.114923639	-4.078446059	-4.014923390	-4.13335980	-4.582381440	-4.541759985	-4.471021102	-4.60291196
3p	0.025	-1.957449620	-1.956885468	-1.959671848	-1.95892730	-2.179817074	-2.179188835	-2.182291748	-2.18146262
	0.050	-1.495848780	-1.494860315	-1.504737691	-1.50416901	-1.665778101	-1.664677346	-1.675676798	-1.67504351
	0.075	-1.089803634	-1.090527624	-1.109803684	-1.10938179	-1.213605983	-1.214412219	-1.235878050	-1.23540823
3d	0.025	-1.910349004	-1.908826648	-1.917015687	-1.91628944	-2.127365800	-2.125670503	-2.134789822	-2.13398108
	0.050	-1.437155317	-1.434948922	-1.463822050	-1.46326703	-1.600417025	-1.597959983	-1.630113115	-1.62949505
	0.075	-1.011653222	-1.015827891	-1.071653372	-1.07124785	-1.126577636	-1.131226551	-1.193393839	-1.19294225
4p	0.025	-0.887841665	-0.888663181	-0.890063893	-0.88972668	-0.988701013	-0.989615853	-0.991175687	-0.99080017
	0.050	-0.500090979	-0.504696716	-0.508979890	-0.50878387	-0.556901615	-0.562030567	-0.566800311	-0.56658202
	0.075	-0.872318429	-0.868641735	-0.872772200	-0.87244037	-0.979145987	-0.967319959	-0.971919647	-0.97155012
4d	0.050	-0.494277550	-0.481312206	-0.872772200	-0.49364289	-0.483611741	-0.535989562	-0.971919647	-0.44972102
	0.025	-0.862429785	-0.857456954	-0.865651795	-0.86532198	-0.949142315	-0.954864579	-0.963990360	-0.96362308
	0.075	-0.484277089	-0.462958375	-0.487611016	-0.48742442	-0.553614177	-0.515550725	-0.543003921	-0.54279613
5p	0.025	-0.418369884	-0.419824353	-0.420592112	-0.42043305	-0.465896954	-0.467516652	-0.468371628	-0.46819450
5d	0.025	-0.405651686	-0.410051931	-0.412318370	-0.41216309	-0.451733962	-0.456634077	-0.459157985	-0.45898506
5f	0.025	-0.395571148	-0.404406564	-0.408904515	-0.40875104	-0.440508269	-0.450347393	-0.455356314	-0.45518540
5g	0.025	-0.384811122	-0.399576819	-0.407033399	-0.40687867	-0.428525896	-0.444968986	-0.453272638	-0.45310033
6p	0.025	-0.185730652	-0.187526149	-0.187952880	-0.18788038	-0.206829766	-0.208829233	-0.209304440	-0.20922370
6d	0.025	-0.177061486	-0.182469430	-0.183728169	-0.18365796	-0.197175777	-0.203198067	-0.204599800	-0.20452162
6f	0.025	-0.168650965	-0.179487724	-0.181984332	-0.18191355	-0.187809816	-0.199877637	-0.202657861	-0.20257904
6g	0.025	-0.158806110	-0.176892071	-0.181028388	-0.18095818	-0.176846579	-0.196987117	-0.201593321	-0.20151514

Table 5: For CH and CO , diatomic molecules, the comparison of the energy eigenvalues (E_{nl}) (in eV) by using the AIM with the other methods for 2p, 3p, 3d, 4p, 4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states with $\hbar c = 1973.29 eV A^\circ$, $\mu_{CH} = 0.929931 amu$, $\mu_{CO} = 6.8606719 amu$, $\alpha = 1.5$ and $A = 2b$.

$CH/\alpha = 1.5$						$CO/\alpha = 1.5$			
states	1/b	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]	Approx. 1	Approx. 2	Approx. 3	SMI[18]
2p	0.025	-4.045880612	-4.042814276	-4.048222738	-4.04668901	-0.548399611	-0.547983984	-0.547983984	-0.548509185
	0.050	-3.599253612	-3.587960847	-3.608622115	-3.60725796	-0.487861475	-0.486330795	-0.657117332	-0.488946426
	0.075	-3.173201879	-3.150077859	-3.067806215	-3.19307186	-0.430112216	-0.426977867	-0.582640213	-0.432805497
3p	0.025	-1.659934569	-1.659883336	-1.662276695	-1.66164415	-0.224996128	-0.224989184	-0.279557495	-0.225227854
	0.050	-1.226011781	-1.227033867	-1.235380284	-1.23491200	-0.166179986	-0.166318525	-0.213553329	-0.167386368
	0.075	-0.850642138	-0.855792070	-0.871721271	-0.87139110	-0.115300441	-0.115998489	-0.155791014	-0.118112862
3d	0.025	-1.775288816	-1.774681862	-1.782315194	-1.78163855	-0.240631840	-0.240549571	-0.272691890	-0.241492516
	0.050	-1.323788542	-1.325070821	-1.351894052	-1.35138217	-0.179433155	-0.179606962	-0.204994484	-0.183173338
	0.075	-0.917626629	-0.929240010	-0.980864027	-0.98048917	-0.124379866	-0.125954003	-0.145119531	-0.132900580
4p	0.025	-0.772241983	-0.773336440	-0.774584109	-0.77429066	-0.104673678	-0.104822026	-0.126952986	-0.104951366
	0.050	-0.399931993	-0.405633524	-0.409300496	-0.40914700	-0.054208853	-0.054981669	-0.072100157	-0.055457903
	0.075	-0.836859505	-0.821906124	-0.825848334	-0.82553574	-0.113432271	-0.111405413	-0.124092755	-0.111897390
4d	0.050	-0.459795112	-0.442172914	-0.825848334	-0.45377517	-0.056901204	-0.059934407	-0.068759484	-0.061507037
	0.025	-0.867598750	-0.842840295	-0.850912260	-0.85058739	-0.113432271	-0.114242939	-0.122494915	-0.115293020
	0.050	-0.477951208	-0.451808560	-0.476006133	-0.47582404	-0.061047654	-0.061240472	-0.066137485	-0.064495655
5p	0.025	-0.363289576	-0.364938380	-0.365631702	-0.36549429	-0.049242151	-0.049465638	-0.059975429	-0.049540988
5d	0.025	-0.383763625	-0.388605630	-0.390790003	-0.39064034	-0.052017309	-0.05267362-	-0.058579356	-0.052949414
5f	0.025	-0.388967030	-0.398554445	-0.403019785	-0.40286723	-0.052722606	-0.054022134	-0.057772868	-0.054606711
5g	0.025	-0.386739490	-0.402632788	-0.410160748	-0.41000558	-0.052420673	-0.054574933	-0.057082899	-0.055574280
6p	0.025	-0.156551623	-0.158510085	-0.158893749	-0.15883277	-0.021219818	-0.021485278	-0.026789683	-0.021529017
6d	0.025	-0.164795756	-0.170609838	-0.171822133	-0.17175642	-0.022337270	-0.023125341	-0.026067288	-0.023280755
6f	0.025	-0.164052130	-0.175624409	-0.178104885	-0.17803620	-0.022236475	-0.023805042	-0.025641326	-0.024131947
6g	0.025	-0.158351033	-0.177589686	-0.181772291	-0.18170426	-0.021463719	-0.024071426	-0.025270515	-0.024629136

Table 6: For HCl and LiH , diatomic molecules, the comparison of the energy eigenvalues (E_{nl}) (in eV) by using the AIM with the other methods for 2p, 3p, 3d, 4p, 4d, 4f, 5p, 5d, 5f, 5g, 6p, 6d, 6f and 6g states with $\hbar c = 1973.29 eV A^\circ$, $\mu_{HCl} = 0.9801045 amu$, $\mu_{LiH} = 0.8801221 amu$, $\alpha = 1.5$ and $A = 2b$.

$HCl/\alpha = 1.5$					$LiH/\alpha = 1.5$				
states	1/b	Approx. 2	SMI[18]	Aprox. 2	SMI[18]				
2p	0.025	-3.838763931	-3.835854567	-3.840986159	-3.83953094	-4.274849823	-4.271609954	-4.277324497	-4.27570397
	0.050	-3.415000656	-3.404285990	-3.423889567	-3.42259525	-3.802946785	-3.791014926	-3.812845481	-3.81140413
	0.075	-3.010759359	-2.988819104	-2.910759110	-3.02961216	-3.352783434	-3.328350752	-3.241423095	-3.37505550
3p	0.025	-1.574959215	-1.574910605	-1.577181443	-1.57658128	-1.753875529	-1.753821397	-1.756350203	-1.75635020
	0.050	-1.163249798	-1.164219561	-1.172138709	-1.17169439	-1.295395674	-1.296475604	-1.305294371	-1.30529437
	0.075	-0.807096074	-0.811982371	-0.827096124	-0.82678285	-0.898782674	-0.904224057	-0.921054742	-0.92105474
3d	0.025	-1.684408248	-1.683832366	-1.691074932	-1.69043293	-1.875758039	-1.875116736	-1.883182061	-1.88318206
	0.050	-1.257865749	-1.257237809	-1.282687905	-0.93029598	-1.400060780	-1.400060780	-1.428402023	-1.42786117
	0.075	-0.870651496	-0.881670364	-0.930651645	-0.93808640	-0.969558029	-0.981828648	-1.036374232	-1.03597816
4p	0.025	-0.732709379	-0.733747809	-0.734931606	-0.73465318	-0.815945605	-0.817102001	-0.818420279	-0.81811023
	0.050	-0.379458678	-0.384868337	-0.388347589	-0.38820195	-0.422565412	-0.428589611	-0.432464109	-0.43230193
	0.075	-0.794019001	-0.779831114	-0.783571514	-0.78327492	-0.884220038	-0.868420398	-0.872585709	-0.87225543
4d	0.050	-0.438304965	-0.419537203	-0.436756478	-0.43054552	-0.443552648	-0.467196881	-0.872585709	-0.47945575
	0.025	-0.814019010	-0.799693623	-0.807352368	-0.80704413	-0.884220038	-0.890539300	-0.899068083	-0.89872483
	0.050	-0.398304965	-0.428679581	-0.451638431	-0.45146566	-0.508654356	-0.477377839	-0.502944829	-0.50275243
5p	0.025	-0.344692059	-0.346256458	-0.346914287	-0.34678391	-0.383849285	-0.385591400	-0.386323959	-0.38617877
5d	0.025	-0.364118001	-0.368712134	-0.370784685	-0.37064268	-0.405482025	-0.410598054	-0.412906048	-0.41274791
5f	0.025	-0.369055033	-0.378151650	-0.382388400	-0.38224366	-0.410979907	-0.421109904	-0.425827952	-0.42566677
5g	0.025	-0.366941526	-0.382021214	-0.389163803	-0.38901658	-0.408626304	-0.425419054	-0.433373046	-0.43320910
6p	0.025	-0.148537434	-0.150395638	-0.150759661	-0.15070181	-0.165411375	-0.167480673	-0.167886050	-0.16782162
6d	0.025	-0.156359533	-0.161875981	-0.163026216	-0.16296387	-0.174122070	-0.180265189	-0.181546093	-0.18147666
6f	0.025	-0.155653975	-0.166633846	-0.168987341	-0.16892216	-0.173336360	-0.185563552	-0.188184405	-0.18811182
6g	0.025	-0.150244728	-0.168498516	-0.172467006	-0.17240246	-0.167312620	-0.187640049	-0.192059362	-0.19198748